# Preview Only

Also see ISO/IEC 15944-4:2007 for (older) more complete specification

# Abstract

ISO/IEC 15944-4:2015 provides a set of UML class diagrams and conceptual explanations that circumscribe the Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology (OeBTO). It explains the mechanics of a business transaction state machine, the procedural component of an OeBTO, and the (internal) constraint component of OeBTO, its repository for business rules.

ISO/IEC 15944-4:2015 addresses collaborations among independent trading partners as defined in ISO/IEC 15944-1. ISO/IEC 15944-4:2015 applies to both binary collaborations (buyer and seller) and mediated collaborations (buyer, seller, third-party). The ontological features described herein propose standards only for the Business Operational View (BOV), that is, the business aspects of business transactions as they are defined in ISO/IEC 15944-1.

# Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1.  In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted.  This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.  Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL:  Foreword - Supplementary information

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 15944-4:2007), of which it constitutes a minor revision.

ISO/IEC 15944 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Business Operational View*:

- — *Part 1: Operational aspects of Open-edi for implementation*
- — *Part 2: Registration of scenarios and their components as business objects*
- — *Part 4: Business transaction scenarios — Accounting and economic ontology*
- — *Part 5: Identification and referencing of requirements of jurisdictional domains as sources of external constraints*
- — *Part 6: Technical introduction to e-Business modelling* [Technical Report]
- — *Part 7: eBusiness vocabulary*
- — *Part 8: Identification of privacy requirements as external constraints on business transactions*
- — *Part 9: Business transaction traceability framework for commitment exchange*
- — *Part 10: IT-enabled coded domains as semantic components in business transactions*
- — *Part 20: Linking business operational view to functional service view to functional service view*

The following parts are under preparation:

- — *Part 11: Descriptive techniques for foundational modelling in Open-edi*

# 0 Introduction

## 0.1 Purpose and overview

This work is motivated with important ideas from the ISO Open-edi specifications as represented in ISO/IEC 15944-1. In ISO/IEC 15944-1 and in some of its earlier foundational expositions, such as ISO/IEC 14662, there were important concepts defined and interrelated such as business transaction, fundamental activities of a business transaction, commitment, Person, role, scenario, and others. A need for relating all of these concepts in a formal framework for the Open-edi work is apparent.

This is a question of ontology: a formal specification of the concepts that exist in some domain of interest and the relationships that hold them[17]. In this case, the domains of interest are those that encompass Open-edi activities, that is, law, economics, and accounting in an extended sense, not the internal accounting of one particular firm, but the accountabilities of each of the participants in a market-based business transaction.

Ontologies are generally classified as either upper-level ontologies, dealing with generalized phenomena like time, space, and causality, or domain ontologies, dealing with phenomena in a specific field like military operations, manufacturing, medical practice, or business. The economic and accounting ontology being used in electronic business eXtended Markup Language (ebXML), in the UN/CEFACT modelling methodology, and E-Commerce Integration Meta-Framework (ECIMF) work is entitled the Resource-Event-Agent (REA) ontology[1]. REA is used here as an ontological framework for specifying the

concepts and relationships involved in business transactions and scenarios in the Open-edi sense of those terms. The resulting framework is titled the Open-edi business transaction ontology (OeBTO).

The REA ontology is actually an elementary set of concepts derived from basic definitions in accounting and economics. These concepts are illustrated most simply with a UML class diagram. See Figure 1, which illustrates the simple Resource-Event-Agent structure that gives REA its name. A business transaction or exchange has two REA constellations joined together, noting that the two parties to a simple market transfer expect to receive something of value in return when they trade. For example, a seller, who delivers a product to a buyer, expects a requiting cash payment in return.

**Figure 1 — Basic economic primitives of the Open-edi ontology**



There are some specific points of synergy between the REA ontology and the ISO Open-edi specifications as represented in ISO/IEC 15944-1.

ISO/IEC 15944-1, 3.9 defines commitment as *"the making or accepting of a right, obligation, liability, or responsibility by a Person..."*. Commitment is a central concept in REA. Commitments are promises to execute future economic events, for example, to fulfill an order by executing a delivery event.

ISO/IEC 15944-1, 6.1.3, Rule 1 states: *"Business transactions require both information exchange and commitment exchange."* REA firmly agrees with and helps give definition to this assertion. Reciprocal commitments are exchanged in REA via economic contracts that govern exchanges, while information exchange is tracked via business events that govern the state transitions of business transaction entities that represent various economic phenomena.

ISO/IEC 15944-1, 6.3.1, Rule 39 states: *"Conceptually a business transaction can be considered to be constructed from a set of fundamental activities. They are planning, identification, negotiation, actualization, and post-actualization."* For REA, actualization is the execution of economic events that fulfill commitments. Planning and identification involve business partners with types of economic resources, events, and persons, while negotiation is finalized by an economic contract which is a bundle of commitments. The UN/CEFACT Business Process Group has also defined negotiation protocols that assist in forming commitments. The Open-edi set of activities and the REA economic concepts will help each other tie together all the activities into a cohesive business transaction, and then unite that transaction definition with its related information models.

Finally, with regard to the preliminary agreement between Open-edi and REA, the two major sets of ideas that characterize the Open-edi work, the specification of business transactions and the configuration of scenarios, correspond well at the aggregate level to what the REA ontology calls the accountability infrastructure and the policy infrastructure. A business transaction specifies, in a descriptive sense, actual

business events of what has occurred or has been committed to. Conversely, a scenario is more prescriptive: it configures what could be or should be. The realm of both descriptions and prescriptions is important both to Open-edi and REA, and they can work well in developing standards for each.

## 0.2 Definition of Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology (OeBTO)

According to the most widely accepted definition from Tom Gruber (1993), an ontology is a formal, explicit specification of a shared conceptualization.[2] The individual components of this meaning are each worth examining.

- — formal = machine-readable;
- — explicit specification = concepts, properties, relations, constraints, and axioms are explicitly defined;
- — of a shared = consensus knowledge;
- — conceptualization = abstract model of some phenomenon in the real world.

At present, the REA model is certainly an explicit specification of a shared conceptualization of economic phenomena in the accounting community. A formal, machine-readable specification is not proposed in this part of ISO/IEC 15944; however, such extensions may follow in other standards work.

This part of ISO/IEC 15944 focuses on integrating the Gruber definition of ontology with a REA-based approach. It does so from an accounting and economic ontology perspective within an Open-edi Reference Model context. This is achieved through the introduction of the concept (or construct) of "Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology (OeBTO)", which is defined as follows:

*formal, rule-based specification and definition of the concepts pertaining to business transactions and scenarios and the relationships that hold among those concepts.*

## 0.3 Use of the "independent" and "trading partner" perspective in the Open-edi ontology work

In normal business use, the naming perspective for the ontological primitives would be that of the entrepreneur or of one of the two trading partners engaged in collaborative commerce. The other trading partner would ordinarily have a mirror-image view. Thus, a sale, a cash receipt, or a resource inflow for a particular entrepreneur would become a purchase, a cash disbursement, or a resource outflow for a corresponding trading partner. From this perspective, business events and their accompanying economic phenomena would be modeled twice, once in the database of each trading partner. However, for Open-edi purposes, or for that matter for any other independent modeling of business collaborations like the Business Requirement View BRV level of the UN/CEFACT modeling methodology, this redundancy is not acceptable because it allows the states of the two representations to become inconsistent. This difference in naming perspective is explained below and illustrated in Figure 2.[3]

**Figure 2 — Different views of business collaboration**

**Collaboration Perspective: Trading Partner vs. Independent**

Enterprise #1

Business Process

Business Process

Business Process

*Independent* view of Inter-enterprise

Enterprise #2

Business Process

Business Process

Business Process

Enterprise #3

Business Process

Business Process

Business Process

*Trading Partner* view of inter-enterprise events (upstream vendors and downstream customers)

*Dotted arrows represent flow of goods, services, and cash between different companies; solid arrows represent flows within companies*

Figure 2 illustrates three independent value chains for three different enterprises. Each company has a connected network of business processes that takes its initial input of resources (called factor inputs for their production functions) and transforms them via cumulative flows of goods, services, rights, and/or cash into an output for that firm's downstream customers. For Open-edi collaboration modeling, these internal processes are not relevant until a resource flow crosses enterprise boundaries, as is illustrated for Enterprise #2 which accepts materials from Enterprise #1 and which delivers materials to Enterprise #3 (most probably in both cases for cash payments in return). The two dotted lines with double-headed arrows show these inter-enterprise events.

The independent or collaboration perspective of resource flows is anchored on the view of the eye outside of Enterprise #2. This view sees both exchanges as conceptually similar with flows of materials being requited by flows of funds. Such a perspective is quite different from that of the eye inside of Enterprise #2, which sees the flow between Enterprise #1 and Enterprise #2 as a "purchase" and the flow between Enterprise #2 and Enterprise #3 as a "sale". Note that an eye inside of Enterprise #1 (not shown on diagram) would have modeled the "purchase" of Enterprise #2 as a "sale" of Enterprise #1, hence the redundancy and the inevitable inconsistency.

Business process modeling can take either of the perspectives shown by the eyes of Figure 2, but the independent perspective is clearly the choice for Open-edi. This leads to the concept of a business collaboration that is illustrated in Figure 3[4]. Most generally, there is a value exchange between two Persons, with one assuming the role of a "buyer" (has money, desires goods, services, and/or rights) and the other assuming the role of a "seller" (has goods, services, and/or rights, desires money). It is also

possible to anchor the independent view on time, with one event being the initiating flow and the requiting event being the responding flow. For internal database purposes of corporate accountability, "trading partner perspective" terms are directly derivable from "independent perspective" terms.

**Figure 3 — Concept of a business collaboration**



## 0.4 The "Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology" (OeBTO)

"Definition of Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology (OeBTO)" and "Use of 'independent' and 'trading partner' perspective in the Open-edi ontology work" have suggested

- — that the components of the REA domain ontology model are sufficiently well-defined, stable, and well-known that they can clearly serve as the basis for an ontological specification of the concepts involved in collaborative exchanges between trading partners, and
- — that the components of that model must be viewed from the outside perspective of a modeler viewing the economic phenomena independently.

Because the primitive economic terms are being adopted here for use with the operational aspects of Open-edi from ISO/IEC 15944-1, the ontology to be defined will be termed the "Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology" (OeBTO). Its definition is

*formal, rule-based specification and definition of the concepts pertaining to business transactions and scenarios and the relationships that hold among these concepts*

From the definitional foundations of both ISO/IEC 15944-1 and the REA model, it follows that the OeBTO will follow these five principles:

- — as a business transaction ontology, a distinguishing characteristic of OeBTO is that in addition to information exchange, it incorporates commitment exchange among autonomous Persons;
- — an OeBTO requires the use of clear and pre-defined rules, principles, and guidelines (see ISO/IEC 15944-1, 5.1);
- — an OeBTO is neutral in terms of technology, representation, and application;
- — the scope of an OeBTO covers all areas of business transactions (public/private, industry sectors, international, regional, etc.);
- — the semantics of the concepts represented in an OeBTO are explicitly specified and constrained.

**0.5    Organization and description of this part of** ISO/IEC 15944

Clause 1 and Clause 2 provide scope and normative references for OeBTO. The basic OeBTO definitions are first enumerated inClause 3, while Clause 4 provides a table of symbols and abbreviations. Clause 5 provides the declarative substance for this part ofISO/IEC 15944, which is a set of UML class diagrams and conceptual explanations that circumscribe the Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology. Clause 6 explains the mechanics of a business transaction state machine, which is the procedural component of an OeBTO, while Clause 7 explains the (internal) constraint component of OeBTO, which is its repository for business rules.

At the end of this part of ISO/IEC 15944 are some helpful Annexes that provide elaboration on the points raised in the main body. Normative Annex A is a consolidated list of all the terms and definitions used in this part of ISO/IEC 15944 in both ISO English and ISO French. The other normative annex is Annex C, which is common to ISO/IEC 15944-2, ISO/IEC 15944-4, ISO/IEC 15944-5, andISO/IEC 15944-8. Annex B is informative text providing more detailed background information on the REA Model. This part ofISO/IEC 15944 concludes with a bibliography.

# 1    Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 15944 provides a set of UML class diagrams and conceptual explanations that circumscribe the Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology (OeBTO). It explains the mechanics of a business transaction state machine, the procedural component of an OeBTO, and the (internal) constraint component of OeBTO, its repository for business rules.

This part of ISO/IEC 15944 addresses collaborations among independent trading partners as defined in ISO/IEC 15944-1. This part ofISO/IEC 15944 applies to both binary collaborations (buyer and seller) and mediated collaborations (buyer, seller, third-party). The ontological features described herein propose standards only for the Business Operational View (BOV), that is, the business aspects of business transactions as they are defined in ISO/IEC 15944-1.

# 2    Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE One or more terms and definitions of the referenced International Standards listed below are used in Clause 3 Terms and definitions.

- ISO/IEC 6523-1:1998, *Information technology — Structure for the identification of organizations and organization parts — Part 1: Identification of organization identification schemes*
- ISO/IEC 11179-3:2003, *Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 3: Registry metamodel and basic attributes*
- ISO/IEC 14662:2010, *Information technology — Open-edi reference model*
- ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, *Information technology — Business Operational View — Part 1: Operational aspects of Open-edi for implementation*

- ISO/IEC 15944-5:2008, *Information technology — Business Operational View — Part 5: Identification and referencing of requirements of jurisdictional domains as sources of external constraints*

# 3   Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

**3.1**
**agent**
**Person** (3.52) acting for another **Person** (3.52) in a clearly specified capacity in the context of a **business transaction** (3.8)
Note 1 to entry: Excluded here are agents as "automatons" (or robots, bobots, etc.). In ISO/IEC 14662, "automatons" are recognized and provided for but as part of the Functional Services View (FSV) where they are defined as an "Information Processing Domain (IPD)".
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.1]
**3.2**
**attribute**
characteristic of an **object** (3.42) or **entity** (3.32)
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 11179-3:2003, 3.1.3]
**3.3**
**bilateral transaction**
sub-type of a **business transaction** (3.8) where the **Persons** (3.52) include only the **buyer** (3.11) and the **seller** (3.61), or alternatively other **Persons** (3.52) acting as **agents** (3.1) for the **buyer** (3.11) and/or **seller** (3.61)
**3.4**
**business**
series of **processes** (3.53), each having a clearly understood purpose, involving more than one **Person** (3.52), realized through the exchange of **recorded information** (3.56) and directed towards some mutually agreed upon goal, extending over a period of time
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.2]
**3.5**
**business event**
occurrence in time that **partners** (3.51) to a **business transaction** (3.8) wish to monitor or control
Note 1 to entry: Business events are the workflow tasks that business partners need to accomplish to complete a business transaction among themselves. As business events occur, they cause a business transaction to move through its various phases of planning, identification, negotiation, actualization, and post-actualization.
Note 2 to entry: Occurrences in time can either: (a) be internal as mutually agreed to among the parties to a business transaction and/or (b) reference some common publicly available and recognized date/time referencing schema (e.g. one based on using ISO 8601 and/orISO 19135 standards).
**3.6**
**business location**
geographic site where an **economic event** (3.25) is deemed to occur with its attendant transfer of an **economic resource** (3.28) from onePerson (3.52) to another
**3.7**

**Business Operational View**
**BOV**
perspective of **business transactions** (3.8) limited to those aspects regarding the making of business
decisions and **commitments**(3.13) among **Persons** (3.52), which are needed for the description of
a **business transaction** (3.8)
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.3]
**3.8**
**business transaction**
predefined set of activities and/or processes of **Persons** (3.52) which is initiated by a **Person** (3.52) to
accomplish an explicitly shared business goal and terminated upon recognition of one of the agreed
conclusions by all the involved **Persons** (3.52), although some of the recognition may be implicit
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.4]
**3.9**
**business transaction entity**
computable representation of any real-world entity that participates, occurs, or is materialized during
a **business transaction** (3.8)
**3.10**
**business transaction entity type**
abstract specification of a **business transaction entity** (3.9), detailing its recommended characteristics,
its recommended methods, and its recommended life-cycle states
Note 1 to entry: A business transaction entity type will usually specify the types of business events that
cause a business transaction entity of this type to proceed through its different states as the business
transaction itself progresses through its phases of planning, identification, negotiation, actualization and
post-actualization.
**3.11**
**buyer**
**Person** (3.52) who aims to get possession of a good, service, and/or right through providing an
acceptable equivalent value, usually in terms of money, to the **Person** (3.52) providing such a good,
service, and/or right
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.8]
**3.12**
**collaboration space**
business activity space where an **economic exchange** (3.27) of valued resources is viewed
independently and not from the perspective of any business partner
Note 1 to entry: In collaboration space, an individual partner's view of economic phenomena is de-
emphasized. Thus, the use of common business and accounting terms like purchase, sale, cash receipt,
cash disbursement, raw materials, and finished goods, etc. is not allowed because they view resource
flows from a participant's perspective.
**3.13**
**commitment**
making or accepting of a right, obligation, liability, or responsibility by a **Person** (3.52) that is capable of
enforcement in the jurisdiction in which the **commitment** (3.13) is made
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.9]
**3.14**
**constraint**

rule, explicitly stated, that prescribes, limits, governs, or specifies any aspect of a **business transaction** (3.8)

Note 1 to entry: Constraints are specified as rules forming part of components of Open-edi scenarios, i.e. as scenario attributes, roles, and/or information bundles.

Note 2 to entry: For constraints to be registered for implementation in Open-edi, they must have unique and unambiguous identifiers.

Note 3 to entry: A constraint may be agreed to among parties (condition of contract) and is, therefore, considered an "internal constraint". Or a constraint may be imposed on parties (e.g. laws, regulations, etc.), and is, therefore, considered an "external constraint".

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.11]

**3.15**

**custody**

association between a **Person** (3.52) and an **economic resource** (3.28) where the **Person** (3.52) has physical control only over the resource or controls access

Note 1 to entry: Having custody of a good, service, and/or right does not imply and is differentiated from having economic control of the same (e.g. a Person may have economic control of a good even though it is not under its custody).

**3.16**

**data (in a business transaction)**

representations of **recorded information** (3.56) that are being prepared or have been prepared in a form suitable for use in a computer system

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.14]

**3.17**

**defined market model**

trade model where the **buyer** (3.11) and **seller** (3.61) accept the entry terms of a specified market in advance and where that market has an accepted and recognized source for business rules and conventions

Note 1 to entry: In a defined market, the phases of a business transaction, planning, identification, negotiation, actualization, and post-actualization are governed by the rules and conventions of the particular defined market.

**3.18**

**duality**

association between **economic events** (3.25) where one is the legal or economic consideration for the other in an exchange

Note 1 to entry: Duality is the conceptual analog of double entry in traditional bookkeeping. For example, a shipment from a partner requires a matching flow in, like a payment, to balance accounts between the parties.

**3.19**

**economic agreement**

arrangement of reciprocated **economic commitments** (3.22) between two **partners** (3.51) where the abstract specification of terms of trade is incomplete and not subject to legal enforcement

**3.20**

**economic bundle**

association between **economic commitments** (3.22) and the **economic contract** (3.23) that bundles those promises and binds them to the two **partners** (3.51) who negotiated them

**3.21**

**economic claim**

expectation of one **Person** (3.52) to receive a future inflow of **economic resources** (3.28) from another **Person** (3.52) because of an**economic exchange** (3.27) which is currently incomplete

**3.22**

**economic commitment**

type of **commitment** (3.13) by one **Person** (3.52) to transfer **economic resources** (3.28) to another **Person** (3.52) at some specified point in the future

**3.23**

**economic contract**

bundling of reciprocated **economic commitments** (3.22) between two **partners** (3.51) where the abstract specification of the proposed**economic exchange** (3.27) is deemed to be complete

**3.24**

**economic control**

association between a **Person** (3.52) and an **economic resource** (3.28) where the **Person** (3.52) either owns the **economic resource**(3.28) or is otherwise able to derive economic benefit (utility) from it

**3.25**

**economic event**

occurrence in time wherein ownership of an **economic resource** (3.28) is transferred from one **Person** (3.52) to another **Person** (3.52)

Note 1 to entry: Occurrences in time can either: (a) be internal as mutually agreed to among the parties to a business transaction and/or (b) reference some common publicly available and recognized date/time referencing schema (e.g. one based on using ISO 8601 and/orISO 19135 standards).

**3.26**

**economic event type**

abstract specification of an **economic event** (3.25) where its grouped properties can be designated without attachment to an actual, specific occurrence in time

Note 1 to entry: Examples of attributes at the type level for events might be expected-duration or standard-pricing-percentage.

**3.27**

**economic exchange**

type of a **business transaction** (3.8) where the goal is an exchange of **economic resources** (3.28) between two **Persons** (3.52) where both parties derive higher utility after the completed **business transaction** (3.8)

Note 1 to entry: An economic exchange usually involves two economic events with different types of economic resources flowing in opposite directions. For example, an exchange of cash for a good involves a shipment with a requited payment following.

**3.28**

**economic resource**

good, right, or service of value, under the control of a **Person** (3.52)

**3.29**

**economic resource type**

abstract specification of an **economic resource** (3.28) where its grouped properties can be designated without attachment to an actual, specific **economic resource** (3.28)

Note 1 to entry: Example of attributes at the type level for an economic resource like an automobile might include its designated fuel capacity or its maximum expected range.

**3.30**

**economic role**

abstract specification of a **Person** (3.52) for economic purposes where its grouped properties can be designated without attachment to an actual **Person** (3.52)
EXAMPLE:

An economic role might be a qualified buyer or approved shipper, i.e. from an economic perspective only.

**3.31**

**economic specification**

association between an **economic commitment** (3.22) and the abstract properties of an **economic event** (3.25), an **economic resource**(3.28), a **partner** (3.51), or a **business location** (3.6)

**3.32**

**entity**

concrete or abstract thing that exists, did exist, or might exist including associations among these things
Note 1 to entry: An entity exists whether data about it are available or not.
EXAMPLE:

A person, object, event, idea, process, etc.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382-17:1999, 17.02.05]

**3.33**

**external constraint**

**constraint** (3.14) which takes precedence over **internal constraints** (3.38) in a **business transaction** (3.8), i.e. is external to those agreed upon by the parties to a **business transaction** (3.8)
Note 1 to entry: Normally, external constraints are created by law, regulation, orders, treaties, conventions, or similar instruments.
Note 2 to entry: Other sources of external constraints are those of a sectorial nature, those which pertain to a particular jurisdiction, or mutually agreed to common business conventions (e.g. INCOTERMS, exchanges, etc.).
Note 3 to entry: External constraints can apply to the nature of the good, service, and/or right provided in a business transaction.
Note 4 to entry: External constraints can demand that a party to a business transaction meet specific requirements of a particular role.
EXAMPLE 1:

Only a qualified medical doctor may issue a prescription for a controlled drug.

EXAMPLE 2:

Only an accredited share dealer may place transactions on the New York Stock Exchange.

EXAMPLE 3:

Hazardous wastes may only be conveyed by a licenced enterprise.

Note 5 to entry: Where the Information Bundles (IBs), including their Semantic Components (SCs), of a business transaction are also to form the whole of a business transaction (e.g. for legal or audit purposes), all constraints must be recorded.
EXAMPLE 4:

There may be a legal or audit requirement to maintain the complete set of recorded information pertaining to a business transaction, i.e. as the Information Bundles exchanged, as a "record".

Note 6 to entry: A minimum external constraint applicable to a business transaction often requires one to differentiate whether the Person, i.e. that is a party to a business transaction, is an "individual",

"organization", or "public administration". For example, privacy rights apply only to a Person as an "individual".
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.23]

**3.34**

**fulfillment**

association between an **economic commitment** (3.22) and an **economic event** (3.25) where the event executes the promised resource flow from one **Person** (3.52) to another
EXAMPLE:
A delivery to a customer would fulfill that customer's sale order.

**3.35**

**governed**

association between an **economic agreement** (3.19) and the **business transaction** (3.8) whose conduct and phases are subject to that**economic agreement** (3.19)

**3.36**

**individual**

**Person** (3.52) who is a human being, i.e. a natural person, who acts as a distinct indivisible entity or is considered as such
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.28]

**3.37**

**Information Bundle**

**IB**

formal description of the semantics of the information to be exchanged by **Open-edi Parties** (3.45) playing **roles** (3.60) in an **Open-edi scenario** (3.46)
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.11]

**3.38**

**internal constraint**

**constraint** (3.14) which forms part of the **commitment(s)** (3.13) mutually agreed to among the parties to a **business transaction** (3.8)

Note 1 to entry: Internal constraints are self-imposed. They provide a simplified view for modeling and re-use of scenario components of a business transaction for which there are no external constraints or restrictions on the nature of the conduct of a business transaction other than those mutually agreed to by the buyer and seller.
[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.33]

**3.39**

**location type**

abstract specification of an economic location where its grouped properties can be designated without attachment to an actual place
EXAMPLE:
A location type might be an accepted shipping facility or approved hospital location.

**3.40**

**materialized**

association between an **economic event** (3.25) and an **economic claim** (3.21) where the occurrence of the **economic event** (3.25)causes the **economic claim** (3.21) to come into existence

**3.41**

**mediated transaction**

sub-type of a **business transaction** (3.8) where a **third party** (3.65) mediates between
the **partners** (3.51) as mutually agreed to by the**partners** (3.51)

**3.42**

**object**

anything perceivable or conceivable

Note 1 to entry: Objects may be material (e.g. an engine, a sheet of paper, a diamond), immaterial (e.g. a conversion ratio, a project plan), or imagined (e.g. a unicorn).

[SOURCE: ISO 1087-1:2000, 3.1.1]

**3.43**

**Open-edi**

*electronic data interchange* among multiple autonomous **Persons** (3.52) to accomplish an explicit
shared **business** (3.4) goal according to Open-edi standards

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.14]

**3.44**

**Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology**

**OeBTO**

formal, rule-based specification and definition of the concepts pertaining to **business
transactions** (3.8) and scenarios and the relationships that hold among those concepts

**3.45**

**Open-edi Party**

**OeP**

**Person** (3.52) that participates in **Open-edi** (3.43)

Note 1 to entry: Often referred to generically in this, and other eBusiness standards, (e.g., parts of
the ISO/IEC 15944 multipart "eBusiness" standard) as "party" or "parties" for any entity modelled as a
Person as playing a role in Open-edi scenarios.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.17]

**3.46**

**Open-edi scenario**

**OeS**

formal specification of a class of **business transactions** (3.8) having the same **business** (3.4) goal

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.18]

**3.47**

**organization**

unique framework of authority within which a person or persons act, or are designated to act, towards
some purpose

Note 1 to entry: The kinds of organizations covered by this part of ISO/IEC 15944 include the following
examples.

EXAMPLE  1:

An organization incorporated under law.

EXAMPLE  2:

An unincorporated organization or activity providing goods and/or services including: (a) partnerships, (b)
social or other non-profit organizations or similar bodies in which ownership or control is vested in a group
of individuals, (c) sole proprietorships, and (d) governmental bodies;

EXAMPLE  3:

Groupings of the above types of organizations where there is a need to identify these in information interchange.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 6523-1:1998, 3.1]

**3.48**

**organization part**

any department, service, or other **entity** (3.32) within an **organization** (3.47), which needs to be identified for information interchange

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 6523-1:1998, 3.2]

**3.49**

**organization Person**

**organization part** (3.48) which has the properties of a **Person** (3.52) and thus is able to make **commitments** (3.13) on behalf of that**organization** (3.47)

Note 1 to entry: An organization can have one or more organization Persons.

Note 2 to entry: An organization Person is deemed to represent and act on behalf of the organization and to do so in a specified capacity.

Note 3 to entry: An organization Person can be a "natural person" such as an employee or officer of the organization.

Note 4 to entry: An organization Person can be a legal person, i.e. another organization.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.46]

**3.50**

**participates**

association between an **economic event** (3.25) and each of the two **Persons** (3.52) participating in the **economic event** (3.25)

Note 1 to entry: Usually there is a "from" association and a "to" association, depending upon the direction of the flow of the economic resource.

**3.51**

**partner**

sub-type of **Person** (3.52) that includes **buyer** (3.11) and **seller** (3.61)

**3.52**

**Person**

**entity** (3.32), i.e. a natural or legal person, recognized by law as having legal rights and duties, able to make **commitment(s)** (3.13), assume and fulfill resulting obligation(s), and able to be held accountable for its action(s)

Note 1 to entry: Synonyms for "legal person" include "artificial person", "body corporate", etc., depending on the terminology used in competent jurisdictions.

Note 2 to entry: Person is capitalized to indicate that it is being utilized as formally defined in the standards and to differentiate it from its day-to-day use.

Note 3 to entry: Minimum and common external constraints applicable to a business transaction often require one to differentiate among three common subtypes of Person, namely, "individual", "organization", and "public administration".

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.47]

**3.53**

**process**

series of actions or events taking place in a defined manner leading to the accomplishment of an expected result

**3.54**

**public administration**

**entity** (3.32), i.e. a **Person** (3.52), which is an **organization** (3.47) and has the added attribute of being authorized to act on behalf of a**regulator** (3.57)

**3.55**

**reciprocal**

association between **economic commitments** (3.22) where the promise by one **partner** (3.51) to execute an **economic resource** (3.28)transfer in the future is reciprocated by the other **partner** (3.51) promising a requited transfer in the opposite direction

**3.56**

**recorded information**

any information that is recorded on or in a medium, irrespective of form, recording medium, or technology utilized, and in a manner allowing for storage and retrieval

Note 1 to entry: This is a generic definition and is independent of any ontology (e.g. those of "facts" versus "data" versus "information" versus "intelligence" versus "knowledge", etc.).

Note 2 to entry: Through the use of the term "information", all attributes of this term are inherited in this definition.

Note 3 to entry: This definition covers: (a) any form of recorded information, means of recording, and any medium on which information can be recorded and (b) all types of recorded information including all data types, instructions, or software databases, etc.

**3.57**

**regulator**

**Person** (3.52) who has the authority to prescribe **external constraints** (3.33) which serve as principles, policies, or rules governing or prescribing the behavior of **Persons** (3.52) involved in a **business transaction** (3.8), as well as the provisioning of goods, services, and/or rights interchanged

**3.58**

**resource-flow**

association between an **economic event** (3.25) and an **economic resource** (3.28)

EXAMPLE:

A resource-flow between some inventory and the shipment that caused control of that inventory to flow from one Person to another.

**3.59**

**responsibility**

association between **Persons** (3.52) where one is responsible for the other or between a **Person** (3.52) and an **organization Person**(3.49) where that **Person** (3.52) is assigned

Note 1 to entry: Sub-types of Persons include individuals, organizations, and public administrations. An "individual" is non-divisible but organizations and public administrations are and as such will assign specific responsibilities to organization Persons.

Note 2 to entry: See ISO/IEC 15944-1, 6.2.7 and Figure 17.

**3.60**

**role**

specification which models an external intended behaviour (as allowed within a scenario) of an **Open-edi Party** (3.45)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.25]

**3.61**

**seller**

**Person** (3.52) who aims to hand over, voluntarily or in response to a demand or a request, a good, service, and/or right to another **Person**(3.52) and in return receives an acceptable equivalent value, usually in money, for the good, service, and/or right provided

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.62]

**3.62**

**Semantic Component**

**SC**

unit of **recorded information** (3.56) unambiguously defined in the context of the **business** (3.4) goal of the **business transaction** (3.8)

Note 1 to entry: An SC may be atomic or composed of other SCs.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 14662:2010, 3.27]

**3.63**

**settlement**

association between a requiting **economic event** (3.25) and an **economic claim** (3.21) where the occurrence of the event causes the**economic claim** (3.21) to expire

**3.64**

**site**

association between an **economic event** (3.25) and the **business location** (3.6) where the transfer of **economic resources** (3.28)involved in that event is deemed to have occurred

**3.65**

**third party**

**Person** (3.52) besides the two primarily concerned in a **business transaction** (3.8) who is **agent** (3.1) of neither and who fulfills a specified role or function as mutually agreed to by the two primary **Persons** (3.52) or as a result of **external constraints** (3.33)

Note 1 to entry: It is understood that more than two Persons can at times be primary parties in a business transaction.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 15944-1:2011, 3.65]

**3.66**

**typification**

association between a concrete **entity** (3.32) and the abstract specification of its grouped properties

**3.67**

**undefined market model**

trade model where participants are not registered in advance and where that market does not have accepted and recognized sources for business rules and conventions

## Bibliography

[1]   ISO 1087-1:2000, *Terminology work — Vocabulary — Part 1: Theory and application*

[2]  ISO/IEC 2382-17:1999, *Information technology — Vocabulary — Part 17: Databases*

[3]  ISO 8601, *Data elements and interchange formats — Information interchange — Representation of dates and times*

[4]  ISO/IEC 15944-2:2006, *Information technology — Business Operational View — Part 2: Registration of scenarios and their components as business objects*

[5]  ISO 19135, *Geographic information — Procedures for item registration*

[6]  ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Procedures for the technical work of ISO/IEC JTC 1 on Information Technology*

[7]  ISO/IEC DIRECTIVES Part 1 (2012) *Procedures for the technical work*. 9th edition

[8]  E. Eriksson, M. Penker Business modeling with UML. John Wiley & Sons, NY, 2000

[9]  G. Geerts, W.E. McCarthy An accounting object infrastructure for knowledge-based enterprise models. IEEE Intell. Syst. Their Appl. 1999 August, (July) pp. 89–94

[10]  G. Geerts, W.E. McCarthy Using object templates from the REA accounting model to engineer business processes and tasks. The Review of Business Information Systems. 2001, 5 (4) pp. 89–108

[11]  G.L. Geerts, W.E. McCarthy An ontological analysis of the economic primitives of the Extended-REA Enterprise Information Architecture. Int. J. Account. Inf. Syst. 2002 March, 3 (1) pp. 1–16

[12]  G. Geerts, W.E. McCarthy (August 2005) "*The ontological foundation of REA Enterprise Information Systems*", paper presented to the Annual Meeting of the American Accounting Association.

[13]  G. Geerts, W.E. McCarthy Policy-level specifications in REA Enterprise Information Systems. Journal of Information Systems. 2006, 20 (2) pp. 37–63

[14]  A. Gomez-Perez Knowledge sharing and reuse. In: The handbook of applied expert systems, (J. Liebowitz ed.). CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, FL, 1998

[15]  T.R. Gruber A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. Knowl. Acquis. 1993 June, 5 (2) pp. 199–220

[17]  Y. Ijiri Theory of accounting measurement. American Accounting Association, Sarasota, FL, 1975

[18]  W.E. McCarthy An entity-relationship view of accounting models. Account. Rev. 1979 October, 54 (4) pp. 667–686 [October]

[19]  W.E. McCarthy The REA accounting model: A generalized framework for accounting systems in a shared data environment. Account. Rev. 1982 July, 57 (3) pp. 554–578

[20]  ISO/IEC JTC1/SC32/WG1 N0190.Morita, Katsuhiro. (2001-10-22). AIW15944-4, Information technology — Business Agreement Descriptive techniques Part 4: Open-edi Ontology

[21]  J.J. Odell Advanced object-oriented analysis & design using UML. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, NY, 1998

[22]  M.E. Porter Competitive advantage. The Free Press, NY, 1985

[23] H. Sakai 1981) "A method for defining information structures and transactions in conceptual schema design", *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Very Large Data Bases*. Vol. 7:225-34

[24] J.M. Smith, D.C.P. Smith Database abstractions: Aggregation and generalization. ACM Trans. Database Syst. 1977 June, 2 (2) pp. 105–133

[25] J. Sowa Conceptual structures: Information Processing in Mind and Machine. Addisson-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1984

[26] J. Sowa Knowledge representation: Logical, philosophical, and computational foundations. Brooks/Cole Publishing, Pacific Grove, CA, 1999

[27] D.C. Tsichritzis, F.H. Lochovsky Data models. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1982

[28] UN/CEFACT 2003). UN/CEFACT Modeling Methodology (UMM) User Guide (CEFACT/TMG/N093)http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/cefact/umm/UMM_userguide_220606.pdf.

---

[1] Elements of the REA ontology as they are used in other standards work are explained in Annex B.

[2] See also the expert contribution by Dr. Jake V. Knoppers in the JTC1/SC32/WG1 document N0220, "*Draft Definition for Open-edi Business Transaction Ontology (OeBTO)",* 2002-05-06.

[3] Figure 2 was contributed by the Japanese delegation to SC 32, led by Katsuhiro Morita, during the Open-edi group meeting in Victoria in October 2001. {See further, the JTC1/SC32/WG1 document N1 N0190 "*AIW15944-4, Information technology — Business Agreement Descriptive techniques Part 4: Open-edi Ontology*", 2001-10-22} (Morita 2001). Some conceptual changes and naming conventions have been added since that first contribution.

[4] Figure 3 was contributed by the Japanese delegation to SC 32, led by Katsuhiro Morita, during the Open-edi group meeting in Seoul, in May 2002.